

**MBA's Legal Issues in Mortgage Technology
Conference
Arizona Biltmore Hotel
Phoenix, Arizona
November 15 – 17, 2006**

Joseph T. Lynyak III
Buckley Kolar LLP

Our Nation has a Clear Public Policy against Discrimination in Lending

- HMDA Has Moved From a Backwater Compliance Issue to the Top of the List
 - Technology Drives and Controls the Data Collection—which drives the Analysis
-

Goals of this Introduction—

- To Look at the Fair Lending Laws
 - To Look at HMDA and its Requirements
 - To Analysis the Importance of the Interface Between Record Keeping Requirements and Technology Challenges
-

- The Fair Lending Laws
 - The Equal Credit Opportunity Act
 - The Fair Housing Act
 - Proxies for Several other Civil Rights Statutes
-

ECOA and FHA Prohibits Discriminatory
Allocation of Credit Based Upon Race and
Ethnicity—

- ECOA Specifically Governs Lending Process
 - FHA Addresses Lending Within the Housing Context
-

The ECOA and the FHA Prohibit Discrimination on the Basis of Race, Color, Religion, Sex, Familial Status, National Origin or Handicap—

- Includes Discriminatory Practices When Applying for Loans
 - Includes Sales or Leasing of Homes
 - Includes Providing Associated Services
 - Includes Outright Refusals to Lend
 - Includes So-Called Targeting Discrimination—
 - Racial Steering
 - Redlining
 - Reverse Redlining
 - Pricing
-

Fair Lending Enforcement

- Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)
 - Department of Justice (DOJ)
 - Federal Banking Agencies
 - Private Enforcement Permitted
 - Many State Counterparts
-

Surprisingly—Mortgage Lending Historically Has Not Been The Subject of Many Discrimination Lawsuits

- Credit is Individualized—Not Similar to the Employment Discrimination Arena
 - Absence of Reliable Statistical Data
 - Lack of Data to Prove Intentional Discrimination
 - Lack of Data to Show “Disparate Impact”
-

HMDA — Historical Background

- 12 USC § 2801 *et seq.*
 - First Adopted in 1975
 - Coverage Eventually Expanded to Include Most Non-Depository Mortgage Lenders
 - Purposes Are To—
 - Provide Data to Demonstrate Whether Lenders Are Serving the Credit Needs of Communities
 - Assist Public Officials in Targeting Credit Needs
 - Gather Data to Identify Discriminatory Lending Patterns
-

Regulation C

- Originally Adopted December 15, 1989
 - Requirements of Regulation C
 - LARS — The Loan Application Register
 - Assembly of Data — Throughout the Year
 - Submission of Data — by March 1st of Subsequent Year
 - Data Compiled by FFIEC (Actually by the FRB)
 - Publication of Data Required by Each Lender
-

The Pre-2004 Statistical Value of LARs Data—Not Much

- A Very Limited Data Set Created
 - Statistically Unreliable to Prove Fair Lending Violations
 - Recognized as Unreliable by Federal Agencies
 - Risk-Based Credit is Individualized
 - Omitted Data Elements Relevant for Fair Lending Analysis—Particularly Pricing Data
 - Ineffectual to Prove “Disparate Impact”
 - Difficulty in Obtaining Data Prevented HMDA to be Used to Prove Intentional Discrimination
-

For Each App or Loan—

- Identification number
- Date of application
- Action taken & date
- Loan Type-Conv, FHA,VA
- Loan Purpose-Purchase, Refi, home improvement
- Type of purchaser, if sold, GSE, bank
- Request for pre-approval

For Each Property—

- Occupancy-owner or non-owner occupied
- Type - 1-4 or 5 or more units
- Location- state & county & census tract

For Each Borrower—

- Ethnicity, race & gender
 - Income
-

Regulation C Developments—Pricing

- Regulation C 2002 Amendments — February 15, 2002
 - 67 Fed. Reg. 7221 (2002)
 - FRB Expanded Required Data Elements
 - Lien Status- First or second mortgage
 - Manufactured home
 - Pre-approval applications (most)
 - Expanded Racial and Ethnic Categories
 - Imposed Reporting Requirements for Pricing for Certain Mortgage Loans
 - All HOEPA Loans and Corresponding APRs
 - Senior Lien Loans — APRs 3 Percentage Points Or Higher than Comparable Federal Funds Rate
 - Junior Lien Loans — APRs 5 Points or Higher than Comparable Federal Funds Rate
 - Compliance with New Rules Postponed Until January 1, 2004
-

What Does the Revised LARs Data Demonstrate?

- Permits Comparison of Lending Patterns Between “Whites” and Minority and Ethnic Groups
 - Identifies Location of Reportable Loans and HOEPA Loans—Permitting a Comparison Between Census Tracts in Which Lower Interest Rate Loans are Originated
 - Ability to Geocode Reportable Loan Locations to LMI Census Tracts and Minority Population Centers
 - Possible Claims of Redlining, Reverse Redlining and Targeting
 - Ancillary State Claims Under State Fair Lending Statutes
-

What the Data Shows—

- 2005 - HMDA data on 30.2 million loan applications in 2005 and reported in 2006
 - 2005 – 11.7 million for single family purchases, 15.9 million refis, 2.5 million for home improvements also 5.9 million loan purchases and 397,000 pre-approvals
 - 1994 – 10.69 million total applications and 1.5 million loans purchased
 - 1994 Many less originations
-

Why Is Regulation C Significant?

- It is an ***Evidentiary Proof*** Issue—
 - Standards Now Available for Proving Fair Lending Claims
 - Potentially Much Easier to Prove Than Predatory Lending Claims
 - Intentional Misconduct May Be Irrelevant
 - The Investigative/Discovery Burden is Considerable
-